

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

---

United States of America,

Case No. 23-cr-350 (MJD/TNL)

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER**

Randy Omero Valle,

Defendant.

---

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Randy Omero Valle's Motion for New Counsel, ECF No. 28. Defendant also filed a letter in support of his motion. *See* ECF No. 29. Defendant brings this motion on a pro se basis. *See* ECF No. 28. Defendant requests the Court to appoint new counsel to represent him from the Office of the Federal Defender. *Id.* Defendant argues that there is good cause to grant his requests. *Id.*

Defendant currently has retained private counsel but wishes for this attorney-client relationship to end due to irreconcilable differences and a complete breakdown in communication with his retained counsel. *Id.*; *see also* ECF No. 29. Defendant also claims that there is a potential for a conflict of interest if his retained counsel were to continue representation. *Id.* Defendant represents that his current counsel, Ryan Garry, does not oppose his requests. *See* ECF No. 28. The Court finds good cause to grant Defendant's requests.

[Continued on next page.]

Further, the Court notes that at Defendant's initial appearance with this Court he was found eligible for the services of the Federal Defender. *See* ECF No. 5.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. Defendant's Motion for New Counsel, ECF No. 28, is **GRANTED**.
2. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A, the Office of the Federal Defender is appointed as counsel.

Dated: March 25, 2024

s/ Tony N. Leung

Tony N. Leung  
United States Magistrate Judge  
District of Minnesota

*United States v. Valle*  
Case No. 23-cr-350 (MJD/TNL)